1 2 3 4 5	Thaddeus J. Stauber (Bar No. 225518) tstauber@nixonpeabody.com Sarah E. André (Bar No. 236145) sandre@nixonpeabody.com Michael O. Azat (Bar No. 278409) mazat@nixonpeabody.com NIXON PEABODY LLP Gas Company Tower 555 West Fifth Street, 46th Floor	NO JS-6
6 7	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Phone: 213-629-6000 Fax: 213-629-6001	
8	Attorneys for Defendant TV One, LLC	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11		CV No. 12 00600 CW (IEM)
12	GOODNESS FILMS, LLC, a California	CV No. 12-08688-GW (JEMx)
1314	Limited Liability Company; HERBERT HUDSON, an individual; PAUL GOLDSBY, an individual; and KENNEDY GOLDSBY, an individual,	JUDGMENT
15	Plaintiffs,	
16	v.	
17 18	TV ONE, LLC, a Maryland Limited Liability Company; MIGUEL NUNEZ, JR., an individual; and EDWIN B. "ED." WEINBERGER, an individual,	
1920	Defendants,	
21	TV ONE, LLC, a Maryland Limited Liability Company,	
22	Third-Party Plaintiff,	
23	v.	
2425	MY BELLES LLC, a California Limited Liability Company.	
26	Third-Party Defendant.	
27	and related Third-Party Counter Claims.	
28		
	-1	_

JUDGMENT Case No. 12-08688-GW (JEMx)

1 On October 21, 2013, this Court heard motions for summary judgment filed by 2 defendants TV One, LLC and Edwin B. "Ed." Weinberger (collectively "Defendants") 3 requesting that the Court dismiss plaintiffs Goodness Films, LLC, Herbert Hudson, Paul Goldsby and Kennedy Goldsby's (collectively "Plaintiffs") claims on the merits. 4 5 On October 21, 2013, the Court issued a tentative ruling noting that it was inclined to grant TV One's motion for summary judgment. On October, 22, 2013, the Court 6 7 issued an order adopting its tentative ruling, granting TV One's motion for summary 8 judgment on Plaintiffs' copyright claims. With the Court's October 22, 2013, order, 9 all of Plaintiffs' claims against TV One have been dismissed. 10 The evidence presented having been fully considered, the issues having been duly heard and a decision having been duly rendered, IT IS ORDERED AND 11 12 ADJUDGED that the Plaintiffs take nothing from TV One, that all of Plaintiffs' claims 13 against TV One be dismissed on the merits and with prejudice, and that TV One be 14 fully dismissed from this action.

15

16

17 DATED: November 6, 2013

19

18

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

-2-

Tronge No. Www

Hon. George H. Wu U.S. District Court